UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,567	02/27/2002	Yanchun Zhao	CA920010020US1	7960
45541 HOFFMAN W.	7590 12/02/200 ARNICK LLC	8	CA920010020US1 7960  EXAMINER  PATEL, NIRAV B  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2435	IINER
75 STATE ST 14TH FLOOR			PATEL, I	NIRAV B
ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2435	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/084,567	ZHAO ET AL.				
mer view cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NIRAV PATEL	2435				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Hunter Webb (Reg. 54,593)</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Nirav Patel</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Reshef et al (US 6584569)</i> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed a discussion with the examiner on the Final rejection dated on Sep 18, 2008. Applicant provided further explanation on the present invention and Claim 1. Examiner further provided explanation about the claim rejection, and indicated deficiency associated with the claim limitation ("execution program set" and "message types").  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Nirav Patel / Examiner, Art Unit 2435						